Attached please find .pdf files with the revised agenda and some additional materials for our meeting on March 29th at the DOT building in Augusta. These same materials are posted on MaineDOT’s Offshore Wind Port Advisory Group webpage [www.maine.gov/mdot/ofps/oswpag/](http://www.maine.gov/mdot/ofps/oswpag/). The only change to the agenda from the prior version is the meeting date. Copies of the agenda will be provided at the meeting.

The other three .pdf files attached are working versions of the alternatives evaluation matrix with some preliminary results and corresponding feature mapping showing the relationship of features to the OSW port alternatives being considered. A few thoughts to keep in mind as you review these items:

* The alternatives evaluation matrix and corresponding feature mapping are tools to aid in the identification of important environmental features and discussion of potential impacts. These documents will evolve as the alternative concepts continue to be developed and additional features information is collected. To aid version control and to help ensure we are all working from the latest versions of each, version dates appear on each file and dates included in the file names.
* To date, the environmental data included in the features mapping and used to preliminarily identify potential impacts are sourced from publicly available geospatial datasets (i.e., readily available GIS data supplemented with limited field data). Much of this information will be supplemented with site-specific information some of which is being collected now; other more detailed information will be collected during project development. Some examples of site-specific information to be collected in the short-term are the identification and delineation of wetlands at both Mack Point and Sears Island, reaching out to federal and state regulatory and resource agencies and others for information, and collecting your thoughts and comments, both at our meeting and in the weeks ahead.
* The preliminary potential impacts were quantified, where possible, within the footprints of the conceptual alternatives. If the potential impact could not be quantified at this time, it was addressed qualitatively and may be an indication there is more work to be done. More work is needed to address the potential indirect impacts (i.e., physically removed or occurring later in time) from the alternatives.

We look forward to discussing the alternatives evaluation matrix and the mapping of natural and other features and other information at our meeting, collecting your input and advice, and discussing the next steps to develop these materials more fully.